

**Consultation on High Level Design of Petroleum Safety Framework  
Respondent Meeting  
Daryl Fullerton  
Monday, October 10<sup>th</sup> 2011**

**Location:** CER Offices  
**Time:** 12:30  
**Attended by:** Daryl Fullerton PIDX  
Eamonn Murtagh CER  
Róisín Cullinan CER  
Theresa Reddy CER Consultant, GL Noble Denton

**Minutes:**

*1. Introduction*

- The meeting was opened by CER and all attendees were introduced.
- Mr. Fullerton provided a background to his 12 years work in the petroleum industry related to supply chain, HSE performance management, and exploration risk management.
- The CER provided a background to the Petroleum Safety Framework Implementation Project and outlined the process by which the Consultation Response Paper and Draft Decision Paper would be published. Consultation Responses and minutes of Consultation Response Meetings will be published in early November. Consultation Response Paper and Draft Decision Paper expected to be published before Christmas 2011.

*2. Discussion on Daryl Fullerton Response to Consultation Paper:*

1. Safety/Performance reporting:

- Mr Fullerton noted that the CER could draw from industry practices on performance reporting and on the work of an American group, PIDX (Petroleum Industry Data Exchange) who are developing standards for various industry processes including data exchange on performance. Some operators adopt a score card approach, which is colour coded, to gain an insight into the quarterly performance of potential contractors and a similar approach could be used for operators under the CER regime.
- The CER could also look to the practices of OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) in America
- The CER should implement a Performance Management System (Scorecards) across Petroleum Undertakings activities for KPI data ( Incidents / HSE data.) The reporting frequency from the petroleum taking to the CER should be quarterly with the Petroleum Undertaking having responsibility for updating the data, and CER having approval and reporting rights.

- To avoid tradeoff between safety and the environment, the reporting of key performance indicators (KPIs) should be requested from the petroleum undertaking on safety and the environment. The assessment of these KPIs should be carried out by the most appropriate authority, be it the CER or the EPA.
- The CER should ensure that its safety reporting from the petroleum undertaking includes its contractors.

2. Designated Petroleum Activities:

- The CER should designate ancillary services. It could then track incidents in these areas through KPIs. An example would be notification of refueling incidents regarding aircraft used for the rigs, which may occur away from the rig.

The CER should also consider implementing Tracking of Risks identified and logged, including an Audit Trail of how the risk bubble has progressed (from a high probability / high impact to a Low impact Low probability) as well as timestamps on the individual risks bubble progression for audit trail purposes. Update of this should be the responsibility of the Petroleum Undertaking with view rights to CER.

End.