

METAC Response to LPG Consultation Paper CER/08/141
27th August 2008

Question/Proposal	Yes	No	Comments
Q1. Is the Commission's review into the LPG industry, set out in Section 2.0 comprehensive and identify and address all the safety-related aspects of the LPG supply chain?;	Yes		
Q2. Is the Commission's interpretation of the relevance of existing safety legislation and its' application to the safety-related aspects of the LPG industry comprehensive?	Yes		
Q3. Are you in favour of the proposals outlined in Section 6.1?	Yes		
Q4. In particular are you in favour of the Commission's proposals for achieving regulating LPG installers?	Yes		
Q5. Do you agree with the Commission's proposals with respect to the proposed boundary between the current safety enforcement responsibilities of the HSA and any future safety responsibilities upon the Commission?	?		This seam fine but my concern would be in relation to gas cylinders ie. Location as they can be located in some odd locations. As you described that CER is responsible from the outlet valve which is leaving the HSA responsible for the regulator and the hose pipes coming from the cylinders to the regulator, which need to be changed on a on going basis as they perish.