

## **ESB Networks - Response to Review of CER Public Consultation Process - CER/07/211f**

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to this consultation. We do not have many comments as overall we feel that the consultation process well. There are perhaps a couple of areas however, where improvement could be made as follows:

- The language in the decisions can sometimes be vague and can require extensive clarification afterwards for implementation.
- In the past, decisions omitted reference to practical aspects of implementation such as costs and timescales. Sometimes this means that a significant implication of the decision has not been teased out before it is made. This has significantly improved. Decisions would benefit from the inclusion of a standard 'implementation' or 'next steps' heading.
- Each decision should explicitly withdraw any previous decision that is now superseded by the new decision. The reference number and date of the superseded decision should be given.
- Each decision should explicitly reference any previous decision that is partly altered by the new decision unless the new decision is complete in itself.
- Even with the major improvement in the Commission website, it is still very difficult to find all the relevant current decisions in a particular area. As the 'case law' accumulates, this is important for new market entrants.

Regards,